

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/825,918	04/03/01	TURNER		W	12017-24/JWE
-			一	EXAMINER	
MM91/1004				WITKIWSKI.S	
STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH IF DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		/E, SUITE 1600			
9.0. BOX 76	80			2837	
NEWPORT BEA	CH CA 92660	-6441		DATE MAILED:	1
					10/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No. Applicant(s) Office Action Summary Group Art Unit -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Dispositi n of Claims Claim(s) _ _____is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s)_ — is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)_ $_{-}$ is/are allowed. Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. □ Claim(s). is/are objected to. □ Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement. Applicati n Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on______ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. BEST AVAILABLE COPY ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948 □ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Attachment(s)

*Certified copies not received:__

Application/Control Number: 09/825,918 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 2-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Kinman.

Kinman discloses a pickup comprising upper and lower bobbins or coils 30 and 20 having

permanent magnetic pole pieces 34-39 extending therethrough (see abstract and column 3). Each

bobbin has a hole that the magnetic pole pieces extend therethrough. For example, see Fig. 1.

Each permanent magnet pole piece is situated within holes in the upper and lower bobbins. A flat

or planar non-magnetized ferromagnetic plate 22 or 41 extends between the bobbins or coils and

entirely beneath the upper bobbin or coil (note flat surfaces excluding the walls). The magnetic

pole pieces extend from the upper bobbin to the lower bobbin and through respective openings in

the upper bobbin, the ferromagnetic plate and the lower bobbin. The ferromagnetic plates are

steel. Screws secure the elements together. The holes in the planar ferromagnetic plates read on

applicant's so-called "hole therethrough."

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,918 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 2-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,759. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims 2-21 are broader in scope than the patented claims.

It is always considered obvious to broaden the scope of patented claims. For example, note that the instant claims 2-21 are limited to a pickup whereas the patented claims are limited to a pickup with a guitar.

- 5. In the first sentence of the specification, the patent number of the parent application should be provided.
- 6. This is a divisional of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/014,839. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,918

Art Unit: 2837

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Stanley J. Witkowski at telephone number (703) 308-3101.

Witkowski/nt

9/28/01

Stanley Witkowski
Primary Examiner

Page 4